Asymptotically fast sorting

0

Sergeev I. S. MVK seminar, 2021



S(n) – minimal number of comparisons to sort n elements

 $S(n) \ge \log_2(n!) \sim n \log_2 n$ $S(n) \le \log_2(n!) + O(n) \sim n \log_2 n$

- 1) Sorting by binary insertions
- 2) Sorting by trees
- 3) Sorting by mergings

Method of binary insertions (S. Ford, L. Johnson'59) $S(n) \le \log_2(n!) + c n + O(\log n)$ $c = \log_2(3e/8) \approx 0.028 \text{ (for } n \sim 2^k/3) \dots$ $\dots \log_2(3/(4\ln 2)) \approx 0.114 \text{ (for } n \sim \ln 2 \cdot 2^k/3).$ (G. Manacher, T. Bui, T. Mai'89) c < 0.07Average complexity over all permutations of inputs: c < 0.032 (K. Iwama, J. Teruyama, S. Edelkamp, A. Weiß, S. Wild'20)

M(n) – complexity of insertion of an ordered pair to a linearly ordered array of size n



M(n) – complexity of insertion of an ordered pair to a linearly ordered array of size n



M(n) – complexity of insertion of an ordered pair to a linearly ordered array of size n



M(n) – complexity of insertion of an ordered pair to a linearly ordered array of size n



M(n) – complexity of insertion of an ordered pair to a linearly ordered array of size n



M(n) – complexity of insertion of an ordered pair to a linearly ordered array of size n

 $M(\frac{17}{14} \cdot 2^k - 1) = 2k, \quad M(\frac{6}{7} \cdot 2^k - 1) = 2k - 1$ (R. Graham, F. Hwang, S. Lin'71)



<u>Goal</u>: average complexity of the insertion of an element into an array of size *n*: $\log_2 n + \Theta(1) \rightarrow \log_2 n + o(1)$

- 1. A comparison divides the set of outcomes \approx in half
- 2. Processing of ordered pairs
- 3. Containers to store single elements



- 1. A comparison divides the set of outcomes \approx in half
- 2. Processing of ordered pairs
- 3. Containers to store single elements



- 1. A comparison divides the set of outcomes \approx in half
- 2. Processing of ordered pairs
- 3. Containers to store single elements



- 1. A comparison divides the set of outcomes \approx in half
- 2. Processing of ordered pairs
- 3. Containers to store single elements



- 1. A comparison divides the set of outcomes \approx in half
- 2. Processing of ordered pairs
- 3. Containers to store single elements



- 1. A comparison divides the set of outcomes \approx in half
- 2. Processing of ordered pairs
- 3. Containers to store single elements



- 1. A comparison divides the set of outcomes \approx in half
- 2. Processing of ordered pairs
- 3. Containers to store single elements



- 1. A comparison divides the set of outcomes \approx in half
- 2. Processing of ordered pairs
- 3. Containers to store single elements



- 1. A comparison divides the set of outcomes \approx in half
- 2. Processing of ordered pairs
- 3. Containers to store single elements



T. insertion of $m \leq 2^{a/2}$ ordered pairs into an ordered array of size $2^{a+1/2} - O(a^{-1/5} \cdot 2^a)$ costs at most 2am comparisons

complexity of insertion into array of size n per element: $\log_2 n + o(1)$

$$S(n) = \log_2(n!) + o(n)$$

- 1. Elements are divided into ordered pairs.
- 2. The larger elements of the pairs are sorted.
- 3. Insertion of the first $n/\log n$ lower elements.
- 4. The remaining lower elements are divided into groups of size $n^{1/2}/\log n$.
- 5. Groups are inserted one by one.

<u>PS</u>:

T(n) – complexity of selecting the median of *n* elements: (2+ ε)*n* < T(*n*) < 2.95*n* (D. Dor, U. Zwick'95)

<u>References</u>:

- Knuth D.E. *The art of computer programming*. *Vol.* 3. *Sorting and searching*. Reading: Addison-Wesley, 1998.
- 2. Sergeev I.S. *On the upper bound of the complexity of sorting*. Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics. 2021, 61(2), 329–346.